

ployment of the jobless would continue, so that large sums for unemployment relief would not be required during the summer months. We cannot now come to you with any such promising outlook. Although the number of destitute families whom we are assisting is not as large as the number during the winter months, we are beginning to feel an increase both in unemployment and in applications for assistance. Weekly reports from the Employers Association, which is the most accurate index of the situation, show a reduction in the number of men working from the high point of 118,497 reached for the week of May 12th. When it is realized that the highest point in employment reached during 1921 was approximately 60 per cent of the number employed in 1920, the seriousness of the situation becomes obvious. The fact that the business depression is prevalent in nearly all parts of the country at the present time will tend to discourage a number of wage earners who might otherwise leave the city in search of employment elsewhere. That the demands of the unemployed for relief had not been heavier during the last ten months can be accounted for only by the fact that many of them have been using up their resources and savings accumulated during more prosperous times. The speeding up of the municipal projects under construction has been another large factor in reducing the amount of relief necessary, and the City of Detroit is receiving recognition from other municipalities for having used this constructive means of handling the unemployment situation. With lack of work further depleting the resources of the jobless wage earners and the continued reduction of the working force in Detroit industries, the outlook for this summer months is far from promising.

The budget for the next fiscal year beginning with July 1st, 1921, which the city has granted to this department, did not take into account the possibility of unemployment continuing during the coming year. We are, therefore, confronted at the beginning of the next fiscal year not only with a deficit from the previous year, but also with the knowledge that our yearly appropriation will hardly be sufficient to cover our needs for the first month of the year. We are, therefore, compelled to come again before your Honorable Body and ask for an emergency appropriation. Our present estimate is based upon the expenditures for the month of May, 1921. We do not anticipate that the need for relief will be any less during the coming months, the demands will probably be greater. The following is a statement of our expenditures since the last appropriation:

May 1st, 1921—Balance on hand	\$ 63,940.86
May 5th, 1921—Appropriation	329,843.33
Total	\$393,784.19
Disbursements for April paid during May	\$288,476.60
Balance on hand June 1st, 1921	\$105,307.59
Estimate of May bills payable in June	183,092.00
Deficit for June	\$ 77,784.41

At the present time our monthly rate of disbursement according to our May figures is approximately as follows:

Outdoor relief for families for the City of Detroit	\$160,000.00
Outdoor relief for Detroit residents living in other townships	8,000.00
Cost of relief to single men and supplies furnished to the Armory and other restaurants	10,000.00
Salaries for additional workers not included in budget	7,000.00
Overhead expenditures, stationery, telephone, rentals and equipment	3,000.00
Total	\$188,000.00

On the basis of this monthly estimate, our needs for the six months period ending December 31, 1921, will total \$1,128,000.10. To meet this we have a budget appropriation of \$250,700.00 for the entire year. We will therefore, need an appropriation of \$877,300.00 for the next six months, in addition to the deficit above stated, \$77,784.41, which brings the total of our needs to \$955,084.41.

The judgment of your Honorable Body will determine how these additional emergency appropriations shall be given to us. We are hopeful that the return of normal industrial prosperity will not make it necessary for us to use this entire sum. In the face of the present situation, however, the outlook is not optimistic.

The Public Welfare Commission, therefore, earnestly requests that your body make an additional appropriation to cover the pressing needs of the unemployed.

Respectfully submitted,
PUBLIC WELFARE COMMISSION,
 T. E. DOLAN,
 General Superintendent.

Referred to special order for Thursday.

From the City Plan Commission

To the Honorable the Common Council: Gentlemen—The City Plan Commission has considered the petition of William J. Nagel, Postmaster, referred to us by your Honorable Body, asking that the name of La Salle Gardens south be changed to Pallister avenue; La Salle Gardens north to Montgomery avenue; La Salle Gardens east and west to La Salle boulevard, and La Salle avenue to Bangor avenue, and offers the following for your consideration.

A number of the property owners on the streets affected appeared before the Commission and protested against changing the name of La Salle Gardens north and La Salle Gardens south. A petition signed by approximately one hundred twenty-five (125) property owners living in the La Salle Gardens community was presented to the Commission as a protest against changing the names of La Salle Gardens north and south.

In view of all the circumstances, the Commission recommends that the name of La Salle Gardens east and La Salle Gardens west be changed to La Salle boulevard, the houses being already numbered in such a manner as to conform with the numbering of La Salle boulevard. Inasmuch as the property owners on LaSalle Gardens north and south are averse to changing the name of those streets, and

as they are the parties directly affected, we think it advisable that the names of these streets be not changed as petitioned by Postmaster William J. Nagel. The property owners feel that they are paying a high assessment because of their living in that neighborhood and that the value of their property will be materially lessened if the names of the streets are changed.

We would also recommend that the name of La Salle avenue be changed to Bangor avenue, as we have learned that much of the confusion caused in the delivery of mail and packages will be relieved thereby.

We are returning herewith the petition of Postmaster Nagel, the petition signed by the property owners in the La Salle Gardens district and the numerous communications addressed to your Honorable Body by the residents in the above mentioned district.

Respectfully submitted,
 T. GLENN PHILLIPS,
 Consultant-Secretary.
 General order for Friday.

From the City Plan Commission.

To the Honorable the Common Council: Gentlemen—Acting upon recommendation of your Honorable Body under date of Dec. 14, 1920 (J. C. C. 1962) that the City Plan Commission conduct further negotiations with the Frederick Stearns Co., Walter Machine Company and the Second Church of Scientist, relative to the extension of Congress street from Meldrum to the Boulevard, we would advise that this Commission has made a very comprehensive study of the situation and reports the following for your consideration.

At the request of this Commission, the Division of Grade Separation prepared a tentative plan and estimate of the cost of a viaduct over the railroad on Beaufait street, and we find that the cost of such a viaduct is prohibitive when considered in connection with the proposed improvement.

It is our opinion that in view of all the circumstances regarding the proposed Congress street widening and extension from Meldrum to the Boulevard, namely, the high cost of constructing a viaduct over the railroad on Beaufait street and the damage to the Stearns property, the damage to the buildings of the Second Church of Scientist in line with the and other buildings; and also because proposed opening; and also because Congress street if opened would intersect the East Grand Boulevard approximately sixty-five feet from the portal of the present subway under Jefferson avenue at the approach to Belle Isle, thus causing a congestion of traffic at this point, it is deemed advisable to discontinue further consideration of the Congress street opening.

We would therefore recommend that the matter of extending Congress street from Meldrum avenue to the Boulevard be indefinitely postponed.

Respectfully submitted,
 T. GLENN PHILLIPS,
 Consultant-Secretary.
 General order for Monday.

From the City Plan Commission.

To the Honorable the Common Council: Gentlemen—In order to eliminate the confusion caused by having certain streets in the city called boulevards,

which have not been adopted by your Honorable Body as boulevards under the ordinance, we would recommend that the name of Burnette boulevard (so called), from Bonaparte to Grand River avenue, be changed to Burnette avenue. We are therefore recommending an ordinance to that effect closing an ordinance to that effect and recommend its adoption.

Respectfully submitted,
 T. GLENN PHILLIPS,
 Consultant-Secretary.
 Unanimous consent being granted, the following was offered out of order:
 By Councilman Watson:

AN ORDINANCE changing the name of Burnette Boulevard, between Bonaparte avenue and Grand River avenue, to Burnette avenue.

IT IS HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF DETROIT:

- Section 1 That the name of the
- 2 street and highway known as
- 3 Burnette Boulevard, extending
- 4 from Bonaparte avenue to Grand
- 5 River avenue, be and the same is
- 6 hereby changed to and shall be
- 7 known as Burnette avenue.
- Sec. 2. This ordinance shall
- 2 take effect thirty days after its
- 3 approval by the Mayor.
- Read twice by title, ordered printed
- and laid on the table.

From the Clerk.

That he presented such portion of the proceedings of the last regular session as is required by the Charter to be so presented to His Honor the Mayor for approval, on the 8th, 11th and 13th inst., and that they were approved on the 9th and 13th inst. Placed on file.

From the Clerk.

To the Honorable the Common Council: Gentlemen—I beg to advise your Honorable Body that I am in receipt of the following communication from The French Societies.

Respectfully submitted,
 RICHARD LINDSAY,
 City Clerk.

Following is the communication referred to:
 To the Honorable the Common Council: Gentlemen—On June 28, next, Hon. Jules Jusserand, French Ambassador, will be here for the convention of the Disabled American Veterans of the World War. The committee would like to have the French Societies entertain him on Belle Isle, in the evening; none of these societies have any funds, as they are all sick benefit societies, as they are a certain amount of expenses, There is a certain amount of expenses, as the committee would like to give him a good reception, songs, addresses, music, badges, invitations, costumes, etc., and we would kindly ask your Honorable Body to vote the sum of \$300 to help defray the expenses for said entertainment. An occasion of this kind does not present itself very often, and we can assure you whatever is left of above amount will be returned.

Very respectfully,
 EDWARD E. CHARTIER,
 WILFRED PARENT,
 J. E. CARTIER,
 N. J. NEVEUX,
 Committee.
 Treasurer.