

in 1887 from Cass to Grand River avenues, it took a strip of land 13.19 feet off of the southerly side of Haven street to make said street of a uniform width of 60 feet, thereby leaving a strip 36.07 feet of Haven street between what was then called Kirby avenue, and what is now petitioner's property; that the name of said Haven street was changed to Kirby avenue by ordinance approved Sept. 17, 1895, and is now and has been since said date considered a part of Kirby avenue, making said Kirby avenue 96.07 feet wide from the M. C. R. R. easterly to Twelfth street; that petitioner desires that portion what was formerly known as Haven street vacated in so far as same abuts on his property to use same in connection with his own property for the purpose of erecting a factory building thereon; that your committee visited the premises, and after a thorough investigation can see no particular objection thereto inasmuch as same does not disturb the width of Kirby avenue as originally opened by the Recorder's Court; provided, petitioner files an agreement with the City Clerk wherein he agrees to waive all grade separation damages that may accrue to him by reason of the separation of grades at Kirby or Vermont avenues, and we therefore offer the following resolution.

Respectfully submitted,
CHAS. W. BURTON,
W. P. KINGSLEY,
GEO. A. OWEN,
OTTO C. GOESCHEL.

Accepted and on leave the following resolution was offered:

By Ald. Burton:

Resolved, That the northerly 36.07 feet of Kirby avenue (formerly known as Havens street), lying between the westerly line of Vermont avenue and the Michigan Central right-of-way, be and the same is hereby vacated.

Provided, That Harry C. LaFlamboy, the owner of the adjoining property, pays into the City Treasury whatever expense may have been incurred by the City of Detroit in the matter of constructing sidewalks, crosswalks, etc., in front and alongside of said strip hereinbefore designated, within 20 days from the date of adoption hereof, said expense to be determined by the City Engineer and by him reported to the City Treasurer; and further

Provided, That said Harry C. LaFlamboy file an agreement with the City Clerk agreeing to waive all grade separation damages that might hereafter accrue to him by reason of the separation of grades at the intersection of Kirby avenue and the M. C. R. R. and Vermont avenue and M. C. R. R., which waiver is filed in consideration of the vacation of the property herein described, and further that said agreement be filed as herein stated within twenty (20) days from the date of the adoption and approval of this resolution; otherwise this resolution shall be of no force or effect.

Adopted as follows:

Yeas—Ald. Allan, Brozo, Burns, Burton, Ellis, Fisher, Freiwald, Gadde, Goeschel, Grindley, Gutman, Heineman, Keating, Kingsley, Koch, Korte, Moeller, Mohn, Ostrowski, Owen,

Reinhardt, Rose, Tossy, Vernor, Watson, Weibel, Wieber, Zink, and the President—29.

Nays—None.

FROM THE SAME.

To the Honorable the Common Council:

Gentlemen—Your Committee on Street Openings, to whom was referred the petition of Gustav H. Taepke et al., for the vacation of plat, respectfully report that we have had the matter under consideration and find that petitioners are the owners of lots 1 to 16, both inclusive, in block 5, Sprague & Visger's sub. of Riverview sub. of the rear concession of P. C. 152, bounded on the north by Chapin street, on the south by Blair street and on the west by McClellan avenue; that petitioners desire said plat vacated for simplifying the description for assessing purposes and also for the purpose of paying taxes thereon, same as was done with block 4 of the same subdivision on Jan. 27th, 1903 (J. C. C., p. 48); that your committee can see no objection thereto and we therefore recommend the adoption of the following resolution.

Respectfully submitted,
CHAS. W. BURTON,
W. P. KINGSLEY,
GEO. A. OWEN,
OTTO C. GOESCHEL.

Accepted, and on leave the following resolution was offered:

By Ald. Burton:

Resolved That the plat of lots one to sixteen, both inclusive, in block 5 of Sprague & Visger's sub. of Riverview sub. of the rear concession of P. C. 152, Detroit, Wayne County, Mich., be and the same is hereby vacated, and said parcel of land shall hereafter be known and described as block 5 of said subdivision.

Adopted as follows:

Yeas—Ald. Allan, Brozo, Burns, Burton, Ellis, Fisher, Freiwald, Gadde, Goeschel, Grindley, Gutman, Heineman, Keating, Kingsley, Koch, Korte, Moeller, Mohn, Ostrowski, Owen, Reinhardt, Rose, Tossy, Vernor, Watson, Weibel, Wieber, Zink, and the President—29.

Nays—None.

Ordinances.

To the Honorable the Common Council:

Gentlemen—Your Committee on Ordinances to whom was referred the ordinance presented by Ald. Allan defining locations of Hacks, Cabs, Express Wagons, etc., respectfully report that we have had the matter under consideration and find that the express wagons now have a stand on Griswold street between Jefferson avenue and Larned street, but because of the fact that there will be a double track on Griswold street between said points there will not be sufficient space left for a stand, and while we do not recommend amending the ordinance now before us defining the location decided upon by the Committee our reason for so doing is because we have not determined definitely upon what stand to provide for hacks in so far as the same relates to hacks and cabs standing around the City, and we therefore recommend that the expressmen be allowed to stand on the

north side of Larned street next to the curb, from a point 25 feet west of the west line of Griswold street westerly to a point distant 185 feet westerly on said Larned street from said last mentioned point until such time when the ordinance now pending before the committee shall be reported out wherein said location will be then fixed, and we therefore recommend the adoption of the following resolution.

Respectfully submitted,

WM. GUTMAN,
JAMES VERNOR,
MAURICE J. KEATING,
GODFREY FREIWARD,
JOHN WEIBEL.

Accepted and on leave the following resolution was offered:

By Ald. Gutman:

Resolved, That the expressmen now located on Griswold street between Jefferson avenue and Larned street be and they are hereby permitted to stand on the northerly side of Larned street, adjoining the curb, from a point 25 feet westerly of the west line of Griswold street to a point 185 feet westerly on said street from said last mentioned point in lieu of the stand fixed by ordinance and hereinbefore referred to, and the Commissioner of Police and Corporation Counsel be and they are hereby requested not to entertain any complaints by reason of the change in location fixed by this resolution.

Adopted as follows:

Yeas—Ald. Allan, Brozo, Burns, Burton, Ellis, Fisher, Freiwald, Gadde, Goeschel, Grindley, Gutman, Heineman, Keating, Kingsley, Koch, Korte, Moeller, Mohn, Ostrowski, Owen, Reinhardt, Rose, Tossy, Vernor, Watson, Weibel, Wieber, Zink and the President—29.

Nays—None.

FROM THE SAME.

To the Honorable the Common Council:

Gentlemen—Your Committee on Ordinances to whom was referred the ordinance presented by Ald. Korte, changing the name of Stevens street to Leslie street, respectfully report that we have had the matter under consideration and find that there are two streets in the Seventeenth Ward pronounced alike, one being "Stevens street," which is a long street, running north and south and the other "Stevens street," being but one block long and extending from Van Dyke to Maxwell avenues. In view of the same, we recommend that the ordinance above referred to, presented at a session held on the 30th ult., (J. C. C. p-95, '06) be passed.

Respectfully submitted,

WM. GUTMAN,
JAMES VERNOR,
MAURICE J. KEATING,
GODFREY FREIWARD,
JOHN WEIBEL.

Accepted.
The ordinance was then placed on the order of third reading.

Third Reading of Ordinance.

The title to the ordinance was read a third time.

The ordinance was then read.
The question being "Shall this ordinance now pass?" The ordinance was passed, a majority of the Aldermen present voting therefor as follows:

Yeas—Ald. Allan, Brozo, Burns, Bur-

ton, Ellis, Fisher, Freiwald, Gadde, Goeschel, Grindley, Gutman, Heineman, Keating, Kingsley, Koch, Korte, Moeller, Mohn, Ostrowski, Owen, Reinhardt, Rose, Tossy, Vernor, Watson, Weibel, Wieber, Zink and the President—29.

Nays—None.

The title to the ordinance was confirmed.

FROM THE SAME.

To the Honorable the Common Council:

Gentlemen—Your Committee on Ordinances to whom was referred the communication from the Corporation Counsel, transmitting ordinance entitled "An Ordinance to amend section 5 of an ordinance approved June 22, 1861, as amended March 9, 1876, being Chapter 97 of the Compiled Ordinances of the City of Detroit for the year 1904," respectfully report that we have had the matter under consideration and are informed by the Corporation Counsel that the purpose of said ordinance is to make persons, having the control and management of premises, though not the owner or occupant, liable for the maintenance of nuisance thereon.

We therefore recommend that said ordinance as presented at a session held on the 30th ult. (J. C. C. p. 78, '06) be passed.

Respectfully submitted,

WM. GUTMAN,
JAMES VERNOR,
MAURICE J. KEATING,
GODFREY FREIWARD,
JOHN WEIBEL.

Accepted.

The ordinance was then placed on the order of third reading.

Third Reading of Ordinance.

The title to the ordinance was read a third time.

The ordinance was then read.
The question being "Shall this ordinance now pass?" The ordinance was passed, a majority of the Aldermen present voting therefor as follows:

Yeas—Ald. Allan, Brozo, Burns, Burton, Ellis, Fisher, Freiwald, Gadde, Goeschel, Grindley, Gutman, Heineman, Keating, Kingsley, Koch, Korte, Moeller, Mohn, Ostrowski, Owen, Reinhardt, Rose, Tossy, Vernor, Watson, Weibel, Wieber, Zink and the President—29.

Nays—None.

The title to the ordinance was confirmed.

FROM THE SAME.

To the Honorable the Common Council:

Gentlemen—Your Committee on Ordinances to whom was referred the ordinance presented by Ald. Heineman at a session held on the 30th ult., entitled "An Ordinance to regulate claims for overtime, extra services or additional compensation," respectfully report that we have had the matter under consideration and believe that said ordinance should be passed as presented. The object of passing the ordinance is to overcome a recent instance presented to this Council where certain parties received extra compensation for work performed but of which fact the Council was not appraised or consulted until after the work was done, whereas we feel that the matter should have been brought to the attention of the Council before