Adopted as follows: Yeas - Council Members Butler, Cleveland, Eberhard, Everett, Hill, Hood, Kelley, Ravitz, and President Mahaffey - 9. Nays - None.

Law Department November 5, 1992

Honorable City Council:

Re: Terence Pernell vs. Police Officer William Salisbury, et al, Case No. 92 225589 NO, File No. 90 8282 (TAW)

Representation by the Law Department of the City employees listed below is hereby recommended, as we concur with the recommendation of the Head of the Department and believe that the City Council should find and determine that the suit against the defendants arises out of or involves the performance in good faith of the official duties of such defendants.

Defendants: P.O. Leonard Riccinto. Badge #1823, P.O. William Salisbury,

Badge #1947.

Respectfully submitted, LESLEY F. KNAPP, Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved: DONALD PAILEN Corporation Counsel By: THOMAS L. WALTERS Deputy Corporation Counsel

By Council Member Hill:

Resolved, that the Law Department is hereby authorized under the provisions of Chapter 13, Article II of the Municipal Code of the City of Detroit and in accordance with the foregoing communication to provide legal representation to defendants: P.O. Leonard Riccinto, Badge #1823 and P.O. William Salisbury, Badge #1947 Approved:

DONALD PAILEN Corporation Counsel By: THOMAS L. WALTERS Deputy Corporation Counsel

Adopted as follows:

Yeas — Council Members Butler, Cleveland, Eberhard, Everett, Hill, Hood, Kelley, Ravitz, and President Mahaffey — 9.

Nays — None.

City Engineering Department November 2, 1992

Honorable City Council:

Re: Petition No. 2567, Community and Economic Development Department Jefferson-Chalmers Rehabilitation (includes Project Riverbend Plaza; part of Parcel 4) Street and Alley Vacations in the area bounded by Lenox, Coplin, Freud, and Jefferson Avenues

To develop properties in Jefferson-Chalmers Rehabilitation Project, including Riverbend Plaza (Shopping Center; part of Parci 4), it is necessary to vacate a public street and alleys in the area bounded by Lenox, Coplin, Freud, and Jefferson Avenues.

The public street and alley closings were approved by the Department of Public Works — Traffic Engineering Divi-

Satisfactory arrangements have been made with all city departments and privately-owned utility companies.

An appropriate resolution is attached for consideration by your Honorable

> Respectfully submitted. CLYDE R. HOPKINS. Director

By Council Member Everett:

Resolved, All of the remaining part of Drexel Avenue, 60 feet wide, south of E. Jefferson Avenue (120 feet wide) lying westerly of and abutting the west line of Lot 1 (Block C); also lying westerly of and abutting the west line of the east-west public alley (18 feet wide, in the block bounded by Drexel, Coplin, Freud, and Jefferson Avenues; Block C); also lying westerly of and abutting the west line of Lots 8 thru 27 (Block C); also lying easterly of and abutting the east line of Lot 7 (Block B); also lying easterly of and abutting the east line of the east-west public alley (18 feet wide, in the block bounded by Lenox, Drexel, Freud, and Jefferson Avenues; Block B); also lying easterly of and abutting the east line of Lots 152 thru 173 (Block B) as platted in "Jefferson Park Subdivision;" also

All of the east-west public alley, 18 feet wide, in the block bounded by Lenox, Drexel, Freud, and Jefferson Avenues lying southerly of and abutting the south line of Lots 1 thru 7 (Block B); also lying northerly of and abutting the north line of Lot 8 (Block B); also lying northerly of and abutting the north line of the remaining north-south public alley (18 feet wide, in the block bounded by Lenox, Drexel, Freud, and Jefferson Avenues); also lying northerly of and abutting the north line of Lot 173 as platted in "Block B, Jefferson Park Subdivision;" also

All of the remaining north-south public alley, 18 feet wide, in the block bounded by Lenox, Drexel, Freud, and Jefferson Avenues lying westerly of and abutting the west line of Lots 152 thru 173; also lying easterly of and abutting the east line of Lots 8 thru 31 as platted in "Block B, Jefferson Park Subdivision;" also

All of the east-west public alley, 18 feet wide, in the block bounded by Drexel, Coplin, Freud, and Jefferson Avenues

lying southerly of and abutting the south line of Lots 1 thru 7 (Block C); also lying northerly of and abutting the north line of Lot 8 (Block C); also lying northerly of and abutting the north line of the northsouth public alley (18 feet wide, in the block, bounded by Drexel, Coplin, Freud, and Jefferson Avenues); also lying northerly of and abutting the north line of Lot 166 as platted in "Block C, Jefferson Park Subdivision;" also

A triangular parcel of land being part of Lot 7 of "Block C, Jefferson Park Subdivision" commonly used as part of the east-west public alley in the block bounded by Drexel, Coplin, Freud, and Jefferson Avenues, described as follows: "Beginning at the southeast corner of said Lot 7; thence northerly along the east line of Lot 7, a distance of 10.00 feet to a point; thence westerly along a line at right angles to the east line of Lot 7, a distance of 30.31 feet to the south line of Lot 7; thence easterly along the south line of Lot 7, a distance of 31.92 feet to the place of beginning;" (containing 151.55 square feet or 0.0035 acres more or less); also

All of the north-south public alley, 18 feet wide, in the block bounded by Drexel, Coplin, Freud, and Jefferson Avenues lying westerly of and abutting the west line of Lots 134 thru 166 (Block C); also lying easterly of and abutting the east line of Lots 8 thru 41 as platted in "Block C, Jefferson Park Subdivision;"

All of the above public street and alleys abutting lots and/or parts of lots appear as platted in "(Blocks B or C) Jefferson Park Subdivision of the Jefferson Park Realty Company," City of Detroit, Wayne County, Michigan as recorded in Liber 26, Page 93, Plats, Wayne County Records:

Be and the same are hereby vacated as public (street and/or alley) rights-ofway to become part and parcel of the abutting property; and be it further

Resolved, Pursuant to the Court ruling in Center Line v Michigan Bell Telephone Co. 26 Mich. App 659 (1970), aff. 387 Mich. 260 (1972), the Company and Economic Development Department is hereby authorized to process billings from utility companies and others for the relocation of their equipment in connection with this urban renewal project. However, prior to the processing of bills, the Community and Economic Development Department shall consult with the Law Department to determine which utility removal and/or relocation costs incidental to this urban renewal project are obligatory under current Michigan court rulings; and further

Provided, That the City Clerk shall within 30 days record a certified copy of this resolution with the Wayne County

Register of Deeds.

Adopted as follows:

Yeas - Council Members Butler. Cleveland, Eberhard, Everett, Hill Hood, Kelley, Ravitz, and President Mahaffey -

- None. Nays -

City Planning Commission November 16, 1992

Honorable City Council:

Re: City Planning Commission Review of Administrative and Implementation Issues in the Community Devel-Block Grant opment Neighborhood Opportunity Fund Programs (Submitting Requested Resolution).

A joint meeting was held on October 19, 1992 between the City Council and members of the City Planning Commission and Citizens Review Committee. At that meeting, the City Council asked that the areas of concern presented by the Commission on the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and Neighborhood Opportunity Fund (NOF) programs be presented in resolution form for adoption by the City Council.

It was Council's intent that the Commission develop recommendations for Council consideration in the identified issue areas. Council also asked that the Commission analyze all organizations having applied for funds and the impact of targeting funds, as well as funding

available to the organizations.

The requested resolution is attached for your consideration and approval. Respectfully submitted,

MARSHA S. BRUHN Director

By Council Member Hill:

WHEREAS, The City Council has expressed continuing concerns relative to the administration and implementation of the Community and Economic Development Block Grant (CDBG) and Neighborhood Opportunity Fund (NOF) programs; and

WHEREAS, The City Council established a task force to review the process to develop recommendations on expediting the expenditure of CDBG and NOF funds and to assure that projects did what they were intended to do; and

WHEREAS, The City Council has also requested that the City Planning Commission look at the impact of current CDBG and NOF funding in light of the impact of targeting funds; and

WHEREAS, The City Council has met with the City Planning Commission and Citizens Review Committee to discuss problems with program implementation and to review areas of concern relative to the CDBG and NOF programs;

NOW, THEREFORE, RESOLVED, That the Detroit City Council requests that the City Planning Com-