to have his department represented at said hearings before this Body.

Adopted as follows:

Yeas — Council Members Cleveland, Collins, Eberhard, Hood, Kelley, Mahaffey, Ravitz, and President Henderson - 8.

Nays - None.

## **Buildings and Safety Engineering Department**

May 31, 1985

Honorable City Council:

Re: 6434 Hazlett. Petitioner: Buildings and Safety Engineering Department. Ordered demolished date: May 3, 1985 JCC (999).

The above captioned building was ordered removed by your Honorable Body. It has come to our attention that a party with a legal interest in the property had not been notified of the hearing. Although repairs do not appear economically feasible, we respectfully recommend that a rehearing be scheduled to allow the new owner the opportunity to voice his current intentions on the matter.

We further recommend that the demolition order be deferred until this matter is reheard by your Honorable Body.

Respectfully submitted CREIGHTON C. LEDERER

Director

By Council Member Mahaffey:

Resolved, That with reference to dangerous structure located at 6434 Hazlett, inasmuch as this body was informed that a legal interested party was not notified of the hearing, another hearing has been scheduled for FRI-DAY, JUNE 28, 1985, AT 9:45 A.M., in the Council Committee Room, 13th Floor of the City-County Building, for the purpose of allowing the owner or interested party another opportunity to show cause as to why this building should not be removed or otherwise made safe and the Director of Buildings and Safety Engineering Department is requested to kindly have his department represented at said hearing, and further

Resolved, That the City Engineering Department be and it is hereby authorized and directed to defer the demolition order for 6434 Hazlett, pending the outcome of the aforementioned hearing.

Adopted as follows:

Yeas — Council Members Cleveland, Collins, Eberhard, Hood, Kelley, Mahaffey, Ravitz and President Henderson -8

Nays - None.

## **Buildings and Safety Engineering Department**

April 3, 1985

Honorable City Council: Re: 700 Prentis.

This is in response to a communication from John & Sherry Stacey, 28216 Couzens, Madison Heights, Michigan, regarding a dangerous building at the referenced location.

Our latest inspection, on March 19, 1985, revealed the building is vacant and open to trespass. Therefore, we have requested a rehearing before City Council at which time we shall recommend the building be ordered demolished with the costs assessed against the property.

Respectfully submitted, CREIGHTON C. LEDERER, Director

By Council Member Ravitz:

Resolved, That the City Engineering Department be and it is hereby authorized and directed to take the necessary steps as recommended by the Buildings and Safety Engineering Department in proceedings of September 7, 1983 (JCC pp. 1630-32) for the removal of dangerous structures on premises known as 700 Prentis and to assess the costs of same against the property more particularly described in the foregoing communication.

Adopted as follows:

Yeas - Council Members Cleveland, Collins, Eberhard, Hood, Kelley, Mahaffey, Ravitz, and President Henderson

Nays — None.

## City Engineering Department June 10, 1985

Honorable City Council:

Re: Petition No. 3361, Saratoga Health Care Corporation Alleys to Vacation in the block bounded by Gratiot, Monarch, Tacoma and State

The above petition requests the vacation of a portion of the north-south and east-west alleys, 18 feet wide, in the block bounded by Gratiot, Monarch, Tacoma and State Fair Avenues.

The requested vacation was approved by the Community and Economic Development Department. The petition was then forwarded to us for investigation and report. Our report is as

The petitioner has deposited the following sum with the City Treasurer in the account named for the purpose indicated:

Public Lighting Department: \$9,000.-00, Receipt No. A34026. for the estimated cost to relocate lighting facilities from that portion of alleys to be vacated.

The petitioner plans to utilize the paved alley return entrances and requests they remain. Also, by filed letter the petitioner has agreed to pay all incidental removal costs whenever discontinuance of use makes removal necessary.

Proper provisions are contained in the vacating resolution protecting the City's interest in sewers located or to be located in the alley to be vacated.

All other involved City departments and privately-owned utility companies reported they have no objection to the proposed vacation or they have reached satisfactory agreements with the petitioner regarding their installations therein.

An appropriate resolution is attached for consideration by your Honorable Body.

> Respectfully submitted, CLYDE R. HOPKINS Director

By Council Member Collins:

Resolved, That all the part of the north-south public alley, 18 feet wide, in the block bounded by Gratiot, Monarch, Tacoma and State Fair Avenues abutting the rear line of Lots 116 to 124 all inclusive of "Daniel Subdivision of part of the Southeast ¼ of Section 1, T.1S. R.12E. Gratiot Township, Wayne County, Michigan" as recorded in Liber 40, Page 7, Plats, Wayne County Records; also

All that part of the east-west public alley, 18 feet wide, in the above mentioned block lying between and abutting the rear line of Lots 130 to 133, 220 and 221 of the above mentioned subdivision.

Be and the same are hereby vacated as public alleys to become a part and parcel of the abutting property; subject to the following provisions:

Provided, That by reason of the vacation of the above property, the City of Detroit does not waive any rights to the sewers located or to be located therein, and shall have the right to enter upon the premises, when necessary to repair, alter, service or install said sewers, and further

Provided, That no building shall be constructed over said sewers without prior approval of such building construction by the Sewer Services Section of the Detroit Water and Sewerage Department and the Buildings and Safety Engineering Department; and

Provided, In the event that the sewer located or to be located in said property shall break, causing damage to any construction, property or materials above, the petitioners of their assigns, by acceptance of the permit for construction over said sewer, waive all claims for damages; and further

1402

Provided, That if the sewer located or to be located in said property shall break or be damaged, as a result of any action on the part of the petitioner, or assigns (by way of illustration but not limitation, such as storage of excessive weights and materials, or any construction not in accordance with Provision 2, mentioned above), then in such event the petitioner or assigns shall be liable for all costs incidental to the repair of such broken or damaged sewer; and shall be liable for all claims for damages resulting from his action.

Adopted as follows:

Yeas - Council Members Cleveland. Collins, Eberhard, Hood, Kelley, Mahaffey, Ravitz and President Henderson -8.

Nays — None.

## Community & Economic **Development Department** March 25, 1985

Honorable City Council:

Re: F.S.D. Associates. (Petition No. 3469). Request for Commercial Facilities Exemption Certificate.

Submitted herewith is a resolution approving the Commercial Facilities Exemption Certificate in Commercial Redevelopment District No. 68 located at: 1040 W. Fort Street, according to the provisions of Act No. 255 of the Public Acts of 1978.

Respectfully submitted, EMMETT S. MOTEN, JR. Director

By Council Member Cleveland:

Whereas, This City Council has established, by resolution, City of Detroit Commercial Redevelopment District No. 58 in the City of Detroit pursuant to Act No. 255 of the Public Acts 1978;

Whereas, F.S.D. Associates (Petition No. 3469) has filed with the City Clerk an application for a Commercial Facilities Exemption Certificate in said City of Detroit Commercial Redevelopment District No. 58 in the manner and form prescribed by the State Tax Commission and

Whereas, The applicant is the owner or lessee of a facility within said District; and

Whereas, On the 14th day of June 1985 in the City Council Committee Room, 13th Floor City-County Building, a hearing was held on said application for a Commercial Facilities Exemption Certificate at which hearing the applicant, the assessor, and a representative of the affected taxing units had an opportunity for a hearing; and