between the Mayor's Committee for Human Resources Development and Brown, Receiver of the France Human Brown, Receiver of the Estate Lathrop Brown, Receiver of the Estate of James P. Hannan and Marion of James for 903 W. Grand Bowleton of James of 903 W. Grand Boulevard Hannal amount of \$70,500 be and is hereby confirmed. Adopted as follows:

Yeas—Councilmen Hood, Ravitz, Rogell, Tindal, Van Antwerp, Wierzbicki and President Pro Tem. Wiriani 7. Stady 10 Magazing 17

Nays-None.

Department of Public Works August 21, 1969

Honorable Common Council:

Re: Contract PW-7243F. Paving Alley in Blocks Bounded by Lenore, Wormer, Grand River, 7 Mile and Wormer, Telegraph, Clarita, 7 Mile.

Amount in Assessment Portion, \$10,207.56.

Amount in City

Portion, \$4,797.50. Total Amount Accepted Proposal,

Intersection

\$15,005.06.

Under the provisions Gentlemen of the resolution authorizing the award of the Contract, any deductions from or additions to the Assessment from or additions (1) and or one percent of the original Contract amount must be approved by the Council before the Council before Common of such difference is adjustment' the City Intersection applied to Portion.

When the final field measurements based on actual construction were made, an addition of \$109.18 in the Assessment Portion resulted. This together with a previous addition of \$297.00 amounts to a net addition of \$406.18 or 3.6 percent of the Construction Costs.

the It is recommended that the Portion of Assessment Construction Costs remain unchanged from the original amount in the accepted Proposal and that the adjustment of the above difference be City Intersection made in the Portion.

> Respectfully submitted, ROBERT P. ROSELLE Commissioner

By Councilman Rogell:

Resolved, That Assessment the Portion of the Construction costs for the paving included in the above Contract remain unchanged from the original amount in the accepted Proposal, and that the adjustment of the difference described in the foregoing communication be made in the City Intersection Portion.

Adopted as follows:

Yeas—Councilmen Hood, Ravitz, Rogell, Tindal, Van Antwerp, Wierzbicki and President Pro Tem.

Nays—None.

Department of Public Works

August 22, 1969 Honorable Common Council:

Re: Petition No. 5853, McC Chemical Company, 5853, McGean 5621 Commonwealth, alley first west of Commonwealth between vacated Hudson Avenue, vacation. and Stanley

Gentlemen — The above petition requests the vacation of the northsouth public alley 14 and 20 feet wide first westerly of Commonwealth between vacated Hudson and Stanley Avenues.

The requested vacation recommended by City Plan Commission. The petition was then referrred to us for investigation and report. Our report, accompanied by the original petition is as follows:

The petitioner has made following deposits with the City Treasurer, which have been credited to the departments and accounts named, for the purposes indicated:

Public Lighting Commission Fund 990-9243. Receipt No. C-10337. \$370.00. For the estimated cost to relocate equipment lighting from Avenue.

DPW Intersection Fund 143-6241, Receipt No. C-10338. \$505.00. For the original cost of paving the west onehalf of Commonwealth Avenue at the intersection of Stanley Avenue to be vacated.

The petitioner has also requested that the paved return at the entrance to Stanley Avenue remain in its present status as the petitioner plans to utilize same, and had agreed by letter filed with the original petition to pay all costs incidental to the removal of same whenever the discontinuance of use makes such removal necessary.

An easement is reserved in the vacating resolution for the Michigan Bell Telephone Company for the maintenance of its installations located in the public right-of-way to be vacated.

All other involved City departments and privately-owned utility companies reported that they have no objection to the proposed vacation or that they have reached satisfactory agreements with the petitioner regarding their installations therein.

The adoption of the attached resolution is recommended.

Respectfully submitted, ROBERT P. ROSELLE Commissioner

By Councilman Rogell: Resolved, That all that part of the southerly 43.0 feet of Stanley Avenue, feet wide, we sterly Commonwealth Avenue lying northerly of and abutting northerly line of lot 6 of Woodbridge's Subdivision of Outlots 112, 113, 115 of the subdivision of the Woodbridge Farm, Detroit, Wayne County, Michigan as recorded in Liber 9, Page 93, Plats, Wayne County records; also

All that part of the northerly 11.0 feet of Stanley Avenue, 60 feet wide, westerly of Commonwealth Avenue lying southerly of and abutting the sourtherly line of lot 5 of the above mentioned subdivision;

Be and the same are hereby vacated to become a part and parcel of the abutting property; and be it further

Resolved, That all that part of the southerly 6.0 feet of the northerly 17.0 feet of Stanley Avenue, 60 feet wide, lying southerly of and abutting the southerly line of Lot 5 of Woodbridge's Subdivision of Outlots 112, 113, 115 as recorded in Liber 9, Page 93, Plats, Wayne County records; also

All that part of the north-south public alley, 14 feet wide, first westerly of Commonwealth Avenue, between vacated Hudson Avenue, between vacated Hudson Avenue. nue and Stanley Avenue being the easterly 14.0 feet of the southerly 370.76 feet of the northerly 371.86 feet of Outlot 3 of the subdivision of the rear of Private Claim 27, Lognan Farm, confirmed to the heirs of James Henry, Detroit, Wayne County, Michigan as recorded in Liber 21, Page 300, Deeds, Wayne County records; also

All that part of the north-south public alley, 20 feet wide first westerly of Commonwealth Avenue, between vacated Hudson Avenue 200 Stanley Avenue being the easterly 20.0 feet of the southerly 57.24 feet of the northerly 429.1 feet of Outlot 3 of the above mentioned subdivision;

Be and the same are hereby vacated as a public street and alley and are hereby converted into easements for the Michigan Bell Telephone Company for the maintenance of

their equipment.

The owners hereby grant to the Michigan Bell Telephone Company easements in said vacated street and alleys hereinabove described for the purposes of installing, maintaining, repairing, removing or replacing any Bell the Michigan Telepone facilities:

Said owners for their heirs and assigns further agree that no buildings or structures shall be built or placed on said easements without prior approval of the Michigan Bell Telephone Company;

If at any time in the future the owners of any lots abutting on said vacated street and alleys shall request the removal and/or relocation of any existing poles or other facilities of the Michigan Bell Telephone Company in said easement, such onwers, upon whose property the poles or other facilities are located shall pay all costs incidental to such removal and/

or relocation, unless such charges are waived by the Company.

Adopted as follows:

Yeas—Councilmen Hood, Ravitz, ogell, Tindal, Van Antwerp, Rogell. Wierzbicki and President Pro Tem.

Nays-None.

Department of Public Works August 21, 1969

Honorable Common Council: Re: Porposed Paving of Alley, Petition No. 5928.

Gentlemen -- We have conducted an extensive investigation in order to comply with Petition No. 5928 requesting that the alley bounded by LaSalle, Fourteenth, Clements, and Grand be paved. In discussions with Detroit-Metropolitan Services, the agency having responsibility for building and maintaining sewers, they advise that sewers in the area are inadequate. They stated that Water area are that nurmerous complaints regarding flooded basements had been received flooded basements had seen street from residents in the area. It is apparent that adding storm water from the alley would drainage from the alley would increase basement flooding problems. As a result, we were unable to obtain approval to tap existing sewers in order to drain the proposed alley pavement.

In order to provide proper drainage, it would be necessary to construct a new sewer in the alley. The cost of a new properly-sized sewer would also be assessed against the property and would roughly triple the cost of paving this alley. Existing alley construction cost is approximately \$13 per assessed foot and a new sewer would increase this cost to \$35 to \$40 per assessed foot.

There are numerous other similar situations throughout the City. Your Honorable Body may wish to review these matters in greater detail to determine how alleys in these situations can be paved and how the home owners can be relieved from flooding without incurring such high costs.

In view of the costs involved to the property owners, we recommend that Petition No. 5928 be denied.

Respectfully submitted, ROBERT P. ROSELLE Commissioner

By Councilman Rogell:

Resolved, That in accordance with the above communication, Petition No. 5928 be and is hereby denied.

Adopted as follows: Yeas—Councilmen Hood, Ravitz, Rogell, Tindal, Van Antwerp, Wierzbicki and President Pro Tem. Miriani—7.

Nays-None.