Gentlemen-Under date of April 9, 1964 you directed this office to prepare amendments to ordinances various regulating fees collected by the General License Bureau of the Police Department and you also directed that hearings be held and as many people as possible contacted representing the various organizations concerned with license fee increases. Notice of cost explanatory meeting was mailed, no one appeared.

Please find herewith an ordinance which amends Chapter 24 Article VI, Sections 24-6-4 and 24-6-7 of the Code of the City of Detroit dealing with license fees for wholesale and retail poultry dealers and wholesale

poultry dealers' vehicles.

Respectfully submitted WILLIAM R. PARNIS, Asst. Corporation Counsel.

By Councilman Poindexter: AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND Chapter 24, Article VI of the Code of the City of Detroit by amending Sections 24-6-4 and 24-6-7 to increase license fees.

IT IS HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF DETROIT: Sec. 1. That Chapter 24, Article VI of the Code of the City of Detroit be amended by amending Sections 24-6-4

and 24-6-7 thereof to read as follows: Sec. 24-6-4. Annual licenses of poultry dealers under this article shall be issued in the name of the mayor by the police department to any person who qualifies as provided in this arshall collector license The charge and collect a fee of fifty-dellare SIXTY DOLLARS per year for whole-sale poultry dealers' licenses. The license collector shall charge collect a fee of ten-dellars TWENTY DOLLARS per year for retail poultry dealers' licenses issued to retail dealers who sell live poultry or poultry dressed by themselves; provided, that any such retail poultry dealer, his employees or agents, does not dress over fifty fowl per week; and a fee of twent, fire deliars THIRTY-FIVE DOLLARS per year for retail poultry dealers' licenses issued to retail poultry dealers who dress over fifty fowl per week, by themselves, their employees or agents; provided, that retail poultry dealers who dress more than fifty fowl per week and who pay an annual license fee of bwenty-five dellars THIRTY-FIVE DOLLARS shall not dress more than five hundred fowl per week; provided further that retail poultry dealers shall not be required to pay such license fee or to be licensed under this article if such person is already licensed under any other provisions of this Code or other ordinance of the city regulating the

to each licensee a license identifica tion card bearing the name, address, description and picture of the licensee or his employee or agent who is to function as operator or salesman in conducting the licensed business, and the number and date of the license. Such identification card shall be carried by the licensee, his employee or agent while carrying on the licensed business. It shall be shown on denand of any police officer or other properly identified official of the city concerned in the carrying out of the provisions of this article. The license collector shall also furnish each person licensed as a wholesale poultry dealer one metal license plate for each vehicle operated, bearing the license number, year and descriptive term "Poultry Dealer," and for which a charge of twe-deliare FOUR DOLLARS shall be made for each plate furnished. Such license plate shall be conspiciously displayed on each vehicle used by the licensee while the licensee while hicle used by the licensee who carrying on his licensed business.

Sec. 2. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed only to the extent necessary to give this ordinance full force and effect.

Approved As To Form:

ROBERT REESE, Corporation Counsel.

Read twice by title, ordered printed and laid on the table.

RESOLUTION

By Councilman Poindexter:

Resolved, That the date of WED-NESDAY, JULY 21, 1965, 10:10 A.M., is hereby scheduled for a public hearing to be held in the Committee Room, 13th Floor of the City-County Building, on the foregoing proposed ordinance concerning license fee increases for wholesale and retail poultry dealers and wholesale poultry dealers' vehicles, at which time all interested persons are invited to be present and be heard, and further

Resolved, That the Departments of Police, General License Bureau, Corporation Counsel and City Controller are requested to have their departments represented at above hearing.

Adopted as follows: Yeas — Councilmen Beck, Brickley, nnor, Poindexter, Ravitz, Rogell, Van Antwerp, Wierzbicki and President Carey—9.

Nays-None.

Corporation Counsel June 16, 1965.

Honorable Common Council: 123 6 Gentlemen—This is to inform your Honorable Body that a Circuit Court Order vacating the alley in the following case was entered:

The Order, among other things, provides that a public easement for public utility purposes shall be re-tained in the land comprising the alley; and, further, that the Clerk of the Court forward a certified copy to the Auditor General of the State of Michigan.

We submit a resolution directing the City Clerk to record the attached certified copy of the Order with the Wayne County Register of Deeds.

Respectfully submitted, RAYMOND F. STACHURA, Assistant Corporation Counsel.

By Councilman Poindexter:
RESOLVED, That the City Clerk be, and he is hereby, directed to re-cord the Order vacating the public alley in the above-entitled lawsuit with the Wayne County Register of Deeds within 30 days from the date hereof in accordance with the foregoing communication from the Corporation Counsel.

Approved:

ROBERT REESE,

Corporation Counsel.

Adopted as follows:

Yeas — Councilmen Beck, Brickley, Connor, Poindexter, Ravitz, Rogell, Van Antwerp, Wierzbicki and President Carey-9.

Nays-None.

Corporation Counsel June 23, 1965.

Honorable Common Council:

Gentlemen - On October 25, 1960, the City of Detroit instituted suit in the Wayne County Circuit Court, law action No. 315-160, against Alexander Mykytiuk and Catherine Mykytiuk for failure to pay 1958 personal propenty taxes assessed at 3353 Wesson, Detroit, Michigan, in the amount of \$185.12 exclusive of accrued interest. The Answer filed on behalf of defendants denied liability for the subject taxes. After pretrial hearing was held, defendants submitted an offer of \$191.12, which constitutes payment of the principal taxes assessed and the filing fee of \$6.

In view of the fact that the amount offered constitutes full payment of the subject taxes, except for the accrued interest owing, acceptance of the amount offered would be in the best interest of the City. Accordingly, with the concurrence of the City Treasurer, the subject offer is recom-

mended for acceptance.

Respectfully submitted, JOSEPH MAISANO, Assistant Corporation Counsel.

Approved:

ROBERT REESE Corporation Counsel. CHAS. N. WILLIAMS, City Treasurer.

By Councilman Poindexter:

In accordance with the foregoing communication, It Is Herewith Re-

solved that the offer of \$191.12 submitted in full settlement of the 1958 personal property taxes assessed to Alexander Mykytiuk and Catherine Mykytiuk, 3853 Wesson, Detroit, Michigan, be, and the same is, hereby accepted.

It Is Further Resolved that the City Treasurer be, and he is, hereby authorized to apply the check of \$191.12, first, in payment of the \$6 filing fee and the balance on account of the subject taxes, and any amount outstanding after such application be, and the same is, hereby canceled.

Adopted as follows:

Yeas -- Councilmen Beck, Brickley, Connor, Poindexter, Ravitz, Rogell, Van Antwerp, Wierzbicki and President Carey-9.

Nays-None.

Corporation Counsel

June 23, 1965.

Honorable Common Council:

Gentlemen-On May 18, 1965, the Common Council adopted Ordinance 85-G, which amended the Zoning Ordinance by changing property described as the "north 25 ft. of Lots 1-5, in-clusive, and Lots 7-11, inclusive, of George Kittleberger's Subdivision, Liber 8, Page 56," from an RM classification to a B2 classification. The legal description was in error.

Therefore, because this ordinance has been passed, approved, published and is now effective, it will be neces-sary to resubmit the ordinance with the proper description and have another public hearing.

We are attaching a new ordinance with the correct legal description.

JOHN F. HATHAWAY,

Asst. Corporation Counsel.

By Councilman Poindexter:

Resolved, That Notice is Hereby Given that a public hearing, as provided by law, will be held by this Common Council in its Chambers on FRIDAY, the 30TH DAY OF JULY, A.D. 1965, at 10:10 A.M., for the purpose of considering the advisability of amending District Map 4 of Ordinance No. 171-D, the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Detroit, as set forth in the following proposed amendment.

Approved:

ROBERT REESE,

Corporation Counsel.

Adopted as follows:

Yeas — Councilmen Beck, Brickley, Connor, Poindexter, Ravitz, Rogell, Van Antwerp, Wierzbicki and President Carey-9.

Nays-None.

By Councilman Poindexter:
AN ORDINANCE to amend District Map 4 of Ordinance No. 171-D, entitled: "An Ordinance to establish districts in the City of Detroit; to regulate the use of land and structures therein; to regulate and limit the height, the area, the bulk and