

signed and new bids taken on the revised basis with the increased costs assessed.

The contractor has been notified not to pave the alley and is agreeable to cancellation of the Contract.

Respectfully submitted,
GLENN C. RICHARDS,
Commissioner.

By Councilman Rogell:

Resolved, That Contract PW-3848F for the Paving of the Alley Bounded by Lindsay, Gilchrist, Grove and Mc-Nichols be and is hereby cancelled for the reasons set forth in the foregoing communication.

Adopted as follows:

Yeas—Councilmen Carey, Connor, Patrick, Rogell, Smith, Van Antwerp, Wise, Youngblood and President Beck—9.

Nays—None.

Department of Public Works

October 26, 1961.

Honorable Common Council:

Gentlemen—We are returning herewith the petition of the Conway Foundry Company, No. 9127, requesting the vacation of a portion of the east-west public alley, 16 feet wide, east of Ackley Avenue, and south of Varney Avenue. The vacation of said alley was approved by the City Plan Commission and the petition was then referred to this office by your Committee of the Whole for investigation and report.

We wish to advise that our investigations are completed.

All City departments and privately owned utility companies reported that they will be unaffected by the vacation of said alley or that they have reached satisfactory agreements with the petitioner regarding their installations therein.

We recommend the adoption of the attached resolution.

Respectfully submitted,
GLENN C. RICHARDS,
Commissioner.

By Councilman Rogell:

Resolved, That all that part of the east-west public alley, 16 feet wide, east of Ackley Avenue and south of Varney Avenue, as platted in H. L. Baker's Subdivision of Lots 16, 17, 18, 19, and the west one-half of Lot 20 of the Subdivision of the N. one-half of Section 28 and the N.E. Fraction of Section 29, T. 1 S., R. 12 E., City of Detroit, Wayne County, Michigan, as recorded in Liber 9, Page 55 of Plats, Wayne County Records, lying north of and adjoining the northerly line of Lot 195 and south of and adjoining the southerly line of Lot 134 both of the above mentioned subdivision.

Be and the same is hereby vacated as a public alley to become a part and parcel of the adjoining property.

Adopted as follows:

Yeas—Councilmen Carey, Connor, Patrick, Rogell, Smith, Van Antwerp,

Wise, Youngblood and President Beck—9.

Nays—None.

Department of Public Works

October 24, 1961.

Honorable Common Council:
Gentlemen—

Re: Contract PW-3775F

Paving Alley Bounded by Minock, Piedmont, Elmira, and Plymouth
Amount in Assessment Portion \$6,726.80
Amount in City Intersection Portion \$1,001.25
Total Amount Accepted Proposal \$7,728.05

The Assessment Roll was based on the above Assessment Portion.

Under the provisions of the resolution authorizing the award of the Contract, any deductions from or additions to the Assessment Portion exceeding \$100.00 or one percent of the original Contract amount must be approved by the Common Council before the adjustment of such difference is applied to the City Intersection Portion.

Based on final field measurements, the following difference resulted between the estimated cost of the work in Assessment Portion of the accepted Proposal and that actually constructed:

Deduction of \$173.30, or 2.6 percent of Assessment Portion of the construction cost.

It is recommended that the Assessment Portion of the construction costs remain unchanged from the original amount in the accepted Proposal and that the adjustment of the above difference be made in the City Intersection Portion.

Respectfully submitted,
GLENN C. RICHARDS,
Commissioner.

By Councilman Van Antwerp:

Resolved, That the Assessment Portion of the construction costs for the paving included in the above Contract remain unchanged from the original amount in the accepted Proposal, and that the adjustment of the difference described in the foregoing communication be made in the City Intersection Portion.

Adopted as follows:

Yeas—Councilmen Carey, Connor, Patrick, Rogell, Smith, Van Antwerp, Wise, Youngblood and President Beck—9.

Nays—None.

Reconsideration

Councilman Carey moved to reconsider the vote by which the resolution was adopted.

Councilman Rogell moved to suspend Rule 23 for the purpose of indefinitely postponing the motion to reconsider, which motion prevailed as follows:

Yeas—Councilmen Carey, Connor, Patrick, Rogell, Smith, Van Antwerp,