same are herby denied.

Adopted as follows:

Yeas—Councilmen Browne, Hood, Levin, Tindal, Van Antwerp, Wierzbicki and President Ravitz-7. Nays-None.

By Councilman Wierzbicki:

Resolved, That the City Controller be and he is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper fund in favor of persons or listed, in amounts recommended for allowance in accordance with the foregoing communication from the Corporation Counsel, dated January 22, 1970, in full settlement of any and all claims which they may have against the City of Detroit, and that said amounts be paid upon presentation of releases, in form approved by the Corporation Counsel.

Adopted as follows:

Yeas-Councilmen Browne, Hood, Tindal, Van Antwerp, Wierzbicki and President Ravitz-7. Nays-None.

## Corporation Counsel

January 23, 1970

Honorable Common Council:

Re: Petition No. 9218 (A through J) of Parker Gas-Lite Crusade Group to install gas lights on Parker Avenue between E. Lafayette and

Agnes, Detroit, Michigan.

Gentlemen — Pursuant to your request, this office has prepared the appropriate resolution granting the above petition in accordance with the recommendations of the City departments involved.

Respectfully submitted, CHRISTINE M. ROSSI Assistant Corporation Counsel

By Councilman Eberhard:

Resolved, That the Department of Public Works be and it is hereby authorized and directed to issue permit to the following to install and maintain Philadelphia-type gas lights on public property between sidewalk and curb on Parker Avenue between E. Lafayette and Agnes Avenue. Detroit, Michigan.

Petition No. 9218A of Gilbert W. Coughlin and Irene T. Coughlin of 1043 Parker Avenue, described as lot of Parkers Re-Subdivision, install one gas light approximately 210 feet north of E. Lafayette and 81/2

feet west of curb.

Petition No. 9218B of John F. Rellis and Theda M. Rellis of 1023 Parker Avenue, described as lot 39 of Parkers Re-Subdivision, to install one gas light approximately 130 feet north of E. Lafayette and 81/2 feet west of curb.

Petition No. 9218C of Conny Elegert and Pansy Elegert of 1057 Parker Provided, That no rights in the

the Corporation Counsel, dated Avenue, described as lot 42 of Parkers January 22, 1970, all claims Re-Subdivision, to install one gas recommended to be denied, be and the light approximately 280 feet north of E. Lafayette and 81/2 feet west of curb.

Petition No. 9218D of Arnold Wrotslyasky and Floyd Maruszewski of 1065 Parker Avenue, described as lot 43 of Parkers Re-Subdivision, to install one gas light approximately 330 feet north of E. Lafayette and 81/2 feet west of curb.

Petition No. 9218E of Peter J. Mercier and Maureen W. Mercier of 1075 Parker Avenue, described as lot 44 of Parkers Re-Subdivision, to install one gas light approximately 380 feet north of E. Lafayette and 81/2

feet west of curb.

Petition No. 9218F of The Parkstone Co., a Michigan corporation, of 1087 Parker Avenue, described as lot 45 of Parkers Re-Subdivision, to install one gas light approximately 430 feet north of E. Lafayette and 81/2 feet west of curb.

Petition No. 9218G of John N. Nowasad of 1099 Parker Avenue, described as lot 46 of Parkers Re-Subdivision, to install one gas light approximately 475 feet north of

Lafayette and 8½ feet west of curb. Petition No. 9218H of Elsa Sheridan of 1038 Parker Avenue, described as lot 53 of Parkers Re-Subdivision, to install one gas light approximately 180 feet north of E. Lafayette and 8½ feet east of curb.
Petition No. 9218I of Hixie B.

Sanford of 1060 Parker Avenue, described as lot 51 of Parkers Re-Subdivision, to install one gas light approximately 280 feet north of E. Lafayette and 81/2 feet east of curb.

Petition No. 9218J of Bonnie Crowley and Charles E. Clemons and Grace E. Clemons of 1084 Parker Avenue, described as lot 48 of Parkers Re-Subdivision, to install one gas light approximately 340 feet east of E. Lafayette and 81/2 feet east of curb.

Provided, that the necessary permit be obtained from the Department of Public Works; and

Provided, That said installations meet the requirements of any applicable Fire and Safety Codes;

Provided, That permittee at the time of obtaining the permit files with the City Controller an agreement, in form approved by the Corporation Council, saving and protecting the City of Detroit harmless from any and all claims, damages or expenses that may arise by reason of the issuance of said permit and providing for the faithful performance by the permittee of the terms thereof, and in addition, to pay all claims, damages or expenses may arise out of maintenance of said encroachments;

185

this resolution, which resolution is adopted expressly on condition that said encroachments shall be removed at the expense of the permitte at any time when so directed by resolution of settlement. the Common Council, and that the public property affected shall be THOMAS GALLAGHER restored to a condition satisfactory to said Department of Public Works by By Councilman Levin:

resolution by the permittee; and

Provided, That a certified copy of this resolution shall be recorded with the Office of the Register of Deeds for Wayne County by and at permittee's expense.

Approved:

Corporation Counsel
Adopted as follows:

Yeas-Councilmen Browne, Hood, Levin, Tindal, Van Antwerp Wierzbicki and President Ravitz—7. Nays-None.

## Corporation Counsel betreath i January 23, 1970

Honorable Common Council:

property from the plaintiff. Through an error of the Famous Upholstering made for a change in Section 38-8-14 Corporation, the debtor named and the property described did not accord

The present Section prohibits the with the facts.

The police officers state that they took no more than a passive part in the attempted repossession but the plaintiff charges that they actively

public streets, alleys or other public Accordingly, in the belief that the places shall be considered waived by ends of justice will be best served, I Fifty

Assistant Corporation Counsel

and at permittee's expense; and

Provided, That this resolution is revocable at the will, whim or caprice of the Common Council, and proper account and the City Treasurer permittee hereby expressly waives any is hereby directed to issue his check right to claim damages or in favor of Joan Myland and Martin A compensation for removal of said Eisenstadt, her Attorney, in the encroachments; and further that amount of \$150.00 in full settlement permittee acquires no implied of of any and all claims which the said other privileges hereunder not Joan Myland may have against the expressly stated herein; and
Provided, That said permit shall not be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Common Council; and

Council; and

Defendants Robert Brunette and Louis Glubaskas and the City of Detroit and all and sundry of its agents, servants and employees in connection with the incident on or Provided, That the filing of the indemnity agreement and the securing of the necessary permit a release approved by the Office of referred to herein shall be construed the Corporation Counsel and as acceptance of the terms of this discontinuance of pending litigation with reference to such claims. Approved:

ROBERT D. McCLEAR Acting Corporation Counsel Not adopted as follows: Yeas-None

Approved:

ROBERT REESE

Corporation Counsel

Nays—Councilmen Browne, Hood,
Levin, Tindal, Van Antwerp,
Wierzbicki and President Ravitz—7.

## Corporation Counsel January 19, 1970

Honorable Common Council:

Gentlemen — This office recently received a letter from Alger F. Malo, Director of the Department of Streets and Traffic, wherein he has informed us that as a result of recent Re: Joan Myland v. Famous occurrences involving vehicles parked Upholstering Corporation, et al, in front of fire hydrants and the Wayne Circuit Civil Action No. potential hazard resulting from this Gentlemen —Two Detroit police of the Detroit Fire Department, Police entitled case with assisting a Common Pleas bailiff in repossessing Streets and Traffic. As a result of this property from the plaintiff Threshold.

parking of a vehicle within 15 feet of a fire hydrant. Because of the meaning of the word "park" and the fact that commercial vehicles are authorized in the Ordinance to utilize door and searching her apartment.

Because the attempted repossession turned out to be wrong and unlawful, I am certain a jury would give a judgment for plaintiff and I am not confident that they would make any fine distinctions as to the conduct of the police officers at the scene.

No Parking areas for loading and unloading operations, some difficulty has been encountered in providing adequate enforcement in this is changed to a No Standing violation, more adequate and effective enforcement can be provided.

Therefore, we are submitting to