10.20 Each. X-Ray Solutions, Reals Albert Acan A-reay Solutions,

Policy of Detroit:

One of 16-30 Days.

One of Cans At \$.58 Each Can.

Cans At \$.58 Each Can.

Fixer-Powder, No. 3,

Fixer-Powder, No. 4,

Cans At \$2.20 Each Can.

Cans At \$2.20 Each Can.

Size). At said Each Can.

Replenisher or Re
Size Liquid (Developer), (5

Containers Replenisher or Re-grapher Liquid- (Developer), (5 Gal., 1980 Containers Replenisher or Re-sol Containers Replenisher or Re-sol Containers (Developer), (1 Gar.) 300 Control (Developer), msherit \$,90 Each Control preser Liquid (Developer), (Container, At \$.90 Each Container, Containers Developer)

At \$30 Each Containers Developer-Liquid (5 O Containers Size) At \$2.70 Each Container, Developer-Liquid Size). At \$2.10 Each Container. 100 Containers Developer-Liquid (1 100 Containers Developer-Liquid (1

O Containers Section Liquid (1 Size) \$90 Each Container.

Size Containers Fixer-Liquid (5 350 Containers Fixer-Liquid (8 Containers Fixer-Liquid

8.84 Each Contain 100 Size). \$.84 Each Container. Ansco or DuPont" Eastman,

in the event the City of Detroit Brands. annot get acceptable results from, annot satisfactory delivery of, the Film or satisfactory defivery of, the Film (of chemicals) contracted for, the right is reserved to cancel the consists and purchase another based of the consis ract and purchase another brand or other brands without prejudice to

other party.

To: Picker X-Ray Corp., of Detroit:

Terms: Net-30 Days.

10 Drums Micropaque, 57.5 Lbs.

Per Drum \$44.00 Each Drum. No
Container Charge—Not Returnable.

350 Vials Dionosil, Aqueous, 20 cc

Size. \$2.50 Vial. Terms: Net-30 Days.

50 Boxes Thorotrast 3x25 cc per

by Councilman Beck:
Resolved, That the Dept. of Purchases and Supplies be and is hereby authorized and directed to enter into contract with Low X-Ray Film Corp., Albert-Acan X-Ray Solutions Inc., and Picker X-Ray Corp. for furnishing the City of Detroit with its requirements of X-Ray Films and Chemicals as outlined in the foregoing communication. Adopted as follows:

Yeas-Councilmen Connor, Rogell, Smith, Van Antwerp, Wise, Youngblood and President Pro Tem. Beck

Nays-Councilman Rogell-1.

## Recorder's Court

Honorable Common Council: May 22, 1957.

Gentlemen—I respectfully report to Your Honorable Body that a jury duly mpanelled in the Recorder's Court, in the matter of acquisition of land for widening of east/west alley logated south of Canfield between Andered a verdict in favor of said acdered a verdict in favor of said ac-quisition May 8, 1957, which was lost, by the Court May 22nd,

said verdict, and of the judgment of Respectfully submitted Respectfully submitted, E. BURKE MONTGOMERY, Received and placed on file.

Streets and Traffic

Honorable Common Council: Honorable Common Council:

Gentlemen—In 1954 Livernois and Office of One-way streets south of a pair time to complete this change south of Hussar, which is one block south of Fort Street, because of the fact that the railroad crossing protection tracks was not adequate for a oneat the Union Best Railroad Company tracks was not adequate for a oneway operation on these streets. Hearings were held before the Michigan Public Service Commission on this matter, and on July 16, 1956 an order was issued by the Commission for the necessary changes to be made in the railroad crossing protection. Both the Railroads and the City entered appeals from these orders due to disagreement as to the legal authority of the Commission under the Michigan Statutes. Further action in the matter has been held up since that time, as no court decisions have yet been rendered.

In order that this work could be completed, however, negotiations have been conducted between the Rairoads and the City which has resulted in a Memoranda of Understanding and Agreement which has been agreed to both by the Railroad representatives and City representatives and approved both by the Legal Department of the Railroads and the Corporation Counsel's Office. Briefly the agreement provides that the work shall proceed in accordance with the order of the Public Service Commission, and since there is no disagreement as to the legal requirements providing that the City and Railroad share the cost of installation on a 50 per cent basis the agreement provides that the City deposit with the Union Belt Railroad Company \$4,000 which is 50 per cent of the estimated cost of labor and material. Upon completion of the work the actual cost will be adjusted on a 50 per cent participation basis. Since the legal matters in dispute

cover participation on the part of the City in the maintenance of the protection, the agreement crossing protection, the agreement provides that the City shall participate 50 per cent in such costs pending final court action on the appeals. At that time maintenance payments will be adjusted in accordance with court decisions accepted as final by

The agreement also provides that both parties. In accordance with the statute, I held or construed to create or impossible transmit a certified copy of upon the City or the Railroads any