

Works, for furnishing structural steel work on Highland Park Paint Shop, for the sum of \$18,822.00.

Adopted as follows:
Yeas—Councilmen Bradley, Castator, Ewald, Kronk, Littlefield, Nagel, Vernor and the President—8.
Nays—None.

From the Department of Water Supply.

To the Honorable the Common Council:

Gentlemen—Pursuant to advertisement the Board of Water Commissioners received proposals for constructing roof on addition to boiler house at Waterworks Park as follows:

| | |
|--------------------------------|------------|
| Chas. R. Woolensack Corp. | \$4,538 00 |
| Detroit Cornice & Slate Works | 4 600 00 |
| Michigan Cornice & Slate Works | 5,183 00 |

The Board has awarded the contract to Charles R. Woolensack Corp. in the sum of \$4,538, they being the lowest bidder therefor, and respectfully request your Honorable Body to approve the same.

Respectfully submitted,
H. S. STARKEY,
Secretary.

By Councilman Kronk:

Resolved, That the contract entered into between the Board of Water Commissioners and Charles R. Woolensack Corp. for constructing roof on addition to boiler house at Waterworks Park for the sum of \$4,538, they being the lowest bidder, be and the same is hereby approved and confirmed.

Adopted as follows:

Yeas—Councilmen Bradley, Castator, Ewald, Kronk, Littlefield, Nagel, Vernor, and the President—8.
Nays—None.

From the Recorder's Court.

To the Honorable the Common Council:

Gentlemen—I respectfully report to your Honorable Body that a jury duly impanelled in the Recorder's Court, in the matter of widening Elgin avenue, from VanDyke avenue to 120 feet more or less east of the east line of Castle avenue, where not already widened as a public street and highway, rendered a verdict in favor of said opening Tuesday, Sept. 26, 1922, which was confirmed by the Court Tuesday, October 3, 1922.

In accordance with the statute, I herewith transmit a certified copy of said verdict, and of the judgment of confirmation.

Respectfully,
CHARLES W. CASGRAIN,
Clerk.

Accepted and placed on file.

From the Department of Recreation.
To the Honorable the Common Council:

Gentlemen—Pursuant to advertisement in the Legal News for proposals covering the surfacing of grounds around the Atkinson Community Building, located at the corner of Junction and McGraw, the following were received:

| | |
|------------------------|------------|
| Motor City Cartage Co. | \$3,200 00 |
| Travis Brothers | 2,676 00 |

Inasmuch as Travis Brothers are the lowest bidders the Department of Recreation respectfully request that it be authorized to enter into contract with Travis Brothers for the sum of \$2,676.

Respectfully submitted,

C. E. BREWER,
Commissioner, Department of Recreation.

By Councilman Nagel:

Resolved, That the Department of Recreation be and is hereby authorized and directed to enter into contract with Travis Brothers for the surfacing of grounds around the Atkinson Community Building at Junction and McGraw for the sum of \$2,676, they being the lowest bidder for such work.

Adopted as follows:

Yeas—Councilmen Bradley, Castator, Ewald, Kronk, Littlefield, Nagel, Vernor and the President—8.
Nays—None.

From the City Plan Commission.

To the Honorable the Common Council:

Gentlemen—In connection with the discussions which have been held relative to the widening of Linwood avenue, we wish to call to your attention that on February 15, 1922, J. C. C. 264, the City Plan Commission recommended that Linwood avenue be widened to 86 feet from the Grand boulevard to Fenkell avenue. On March 8, 1921, J. C. C. 421, your Honorable Body ordered the partial widening of this street from the alley south of Euclid to Philadelphia and the total widening from Philadelphia avenue to Fenkell avenue.

At a conference with your Honorable Body at that time, the City Plan Commission suggested with particular emphasis that Linwood avenue be widened on the east side from the alley south of Euclid to Philadelphia, starting with a taking of twenty feet (20'), and tapering to zero at Philadelphia. We advised that such widening was necessary at the time in order to prevent building activities which would perhaps prevent the future widening of the street, or at least make it very costly. We also stated that in our opinion it was not advisable to narrow the street at this point. One building has already